

App F IX 4

Previous References

1948-49
(2nd)

File No 55-48

Serial Nos 9, 10

75
Later References
Ml 159-50 (1,2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

112
151

Part B for April 49

SUBJECT

Withdrawal of the powers of prosecution delegated
to the President of the Tanikere Town
Municipal Council

To
The D & C Chickmagalur

No L 12218/D 21-4-49

Declines to sanction the resolution of ~~the~~
for the

186

3074
ML

Previous Refs 63-48C122

ML Dep No 1384
48-49

Mr. C. J.

please bring up

for

26/1/48

(Instructions obtained)

6) Suled the letter references quoted by the Deputy Comm Chikmagalur are not traceable even in Suled file bearing No 6802 (re Madal Report for the Tanthony for 1945-46 and 1945-46)

7) A copy of his letter of 18/4/48 this office letter No L100889/16/48 referred to may be called for perusing the case further

18/1/2/48

Pr 17/48

U.S. A. R. A. R. A. R.

7/1/48

8) Suled of letter calling for copies of letters referred to as for affd

6818/2

Pr 18/2/48

9) I m. e.

18/1/48

To the D. C. Chikmagalur St
L 13966/26-2-48

Calls for a copy of the letter

Sust A

1/3/48

3078 55896

with drawing of the powers delegated to the
from Tan Peh Nee MLC
The present Deputy Commr
Chickmagalur District

No AB 5688/45-46 dated 2-4-48

Forwards copies of letters called for

1) In this office letter No 210484 dated 16-1-47
the attention of the Deputy Commissioner Chickmagalur District
was invited to Section 194 of the Town Municipalities Act which lays down a certain procedure
for observance viz that the order by the S C
under Section 194(1) should be communicated
to the MLC also under sub-section (2) there is an
opportunity given to the MLC to show cause
against the said order and he was requested
to take action accordingly & refer the matter
to Govt

1) The Deputy Commr & in his letter dated
6-12-47 states that his predecessor has not taken
passed any order under Section 194(1) suspending
the resolution & that no action could be taken
under sub-section (2) of Section 194 and requests
that the resolution under question may be
cancelled

12) unless action is taken by the S C
as laid down in Section 194 Govt cannot
cancel the resolution of ~~the~~ referred to
This may perhaps be pointed out to the
S C again

13) The records are still incomplete thus
the letter of 29-9-46 and the
S's letter of 31-12-46 are not

16/4/48

in the file and the resolution to be cancelled
 is itself not in the file. Please see whether
 the papers can be traced now with the
 letters now read or whether this should
 again be called for

Pr 13/4/48

14) Suled the file referred to is not traceable
 in spite of best efforts of calling for
 copies of letters to Spraffle

68 24/4 2x 118
 Pr 24/4

15) This file has not been deposited and is not traceable
 The letter calling for copies may come

Pr 28/4/48

16) Pl bring up with the
 file relating to the audit of the
 accounts of this self during the time
 Mr. Abdul Aziz was the ~~Chairman~~
 President of the ~~Committee~~ ^{Chairman} ~~Committee~~
 this file should be in this ~~file~~
 28/4/48

Sed in person on 15/5
 & instructions obtained
 to put this up on
 15/5-48
 68 5/5

17) Pl ^{the} ~~Chairman~~ ^{Chairman} ~~Chairman~~ 17/63-41-2
 26/5/48

18) Suled the collection referred to is put
 19) Has not this ~~Chairman~~ ^{Chairman} ~~Chairman~~ 71.1
 been given the ~~Chairman~~ ^{Chairman} ~~Chairman~~
 elect its own President recently
 27/5/48

20) Subd Copy of Lr No 14358-61/M 122
47-2 dated 5-3-1968 conferring the privilege
of electing a P.M. from among its members,
on the Tanikere Town M.C. is put up

1829/5/68 ^{to} ~~29548~~ 21) Whatever might have been the
circumstances that led the M.C. to pass a
resolution to the effect that the powers of
sanction for municipal offices delegated to the
President of the Municipal Council no L1788
/ vol 63-41-2 & 3084 maybe
withdrawn, the position has now changed
as the ~~existing~~ President is now replaced by
an elected President hence no orders
are necessary on this question at this
distance of time

24/5/68

22) But an enquiry may be made if the
M.C. are still of the same opinion now as
two years ago & then any further
action taken, in receipt of their reply
revised 31/5/68

A

1/6

23) A - yes

8/6/68

24)

Stephan
Guthrie

$$\frac{5896}{2} \sqrt{2587} / m$$

25) Inlet with a draft letter for appl

$\frac{11/6}{5/6}$ B-5/6/48

26) Issue

from
7 6 48

Re the Dy Commr, Chickmagaher

NO R 17446 of 8/6/42

Ans A
12/6

Calls for some information

From

The A-C Chickmagaher

NO A-3 E 5688 / 45-46 of 27-9-48

furnishes the information called for

27) The Ben Keen Town Mcl have
resolved on 30 8-48 that the power
to direct prosecution for any public
nuisance maybe vested in the Mcl
which means that the power in this
behalf now delegated to the pdt should
be withdrawn as per their resolution
dated 3- -46

28) The A-C requests orders
the matter Ref para 22 supra

Ans
8/10, P. 1000

2557/14

29) In of 2 1788/ ml 63-41-2

Hof J dated 30 8-41, sanction was
accorded to the order of the
Jankhe Samal to delegate to
the President of the TLY then further
under section 170 of 1921 of the Mysore
Town Miths Act 1933 to obtain applications
disposed of matters relating to
prosecutions

30) The said Mlcl have resolved on 27/8/48
to the effect that the power to direct
prosecutions for any public nuisance may be
vested in the Mlcl which means that
the power delegated to the President in the
above should be withdrawn this means
a modification of the terms of the order
dated 30 8 41 in view of the attitude taken
up by the Mlcl in spite of the fact that
there is an elected President now as
against an ex-officio President previously, the
resolution of the Mlcl is for order
persey for 11/10/48

Hof J

11/10/48

THE MYSORE LOCAL SELF GOV ^T MENT	
No	2006
Date	25/9

31) What is the practice in all other municipalities where there are non official presidents? Persey for 30/10/48

with drawal of powers delegated to
the president of Tanikere Town M.C.

2587/pt
6086/mt

32) Draft letter to the DC Chickmagalur
is put up for approval

mp
6/11

33) Jrmf
8/12/48

To
The DCs districts
L 7023 -37 of 24-12-48
Calls for certain information

Smt
6/11/48

From

The DC Tumkur Dist

No A.B.C. 1884/48249 of 3-2-49
furnishes the information called for

34) In Tumkur Tiptur and Maddur.

Town Municipalities, the power of prosecu-
tion is exercised by the pds and
in Chickmagalur Taluk, the power
is exercised by the M.C.

35) The information from the DCs

Smt
15/2/49

of other dists maybe awaited
mp 12/2/49

36) yes file
15/2/49

withdrawal of powers delegated to
the non-official part of the Parkers
Townships

General
Secretariat

2587/m
√ 6086/m
√ 6221/m

6221/m
√ 6581/m
√ 6781/m

From

The VC Shimoga Dist

M-3 2323/244/4829 of 9-2-49
furnishes the information called for

37) The VC Shimoga states

that the power of prosecution
exercised by the ex-officio
presidents of
Shimoga and Sagur Town
Municipalities

38) The VC's letter is for
perusal the information from
the other VC's may be awaited

Amr P
17/2/49 18-2-49

39) Yes please, till 1/3/49
men also receive wages
for these days from
govt. still one
for 18/2/49

Amr till
1-3-49

From

The VC Chickmagalur Dist

A3c 5688/6826 of 17-2-49
requests early orders

Serial
No

40)

called for has not been received except
The information from the ^{Chikmagalur} ~~Chikmagalur~~
of the districts ~~except~~ Tumkur and
Shimoga ~~except~~ ^{to the others} ~~draft~~ reminder put up ~~and~~ for
approval

App
4/7/48 ^{Pr} 43/48

41) From
7/3/48

To

The Secy of Dist except Tumkur
Chikmagalur and Shimoga
10444-50 of 17-3-48
reminders

From

(1) The Secy Kolar Dist
A.S. B. 162/48 reg of 28-2-48

(2) The Secy Mysore Dist
L. No. 43 3267/48 reg of 28/2/48

(3) The Secy Chitaldurg Dist
A.S. P.R. 371/48 reg of 8-3-48

^{Furnish} the information called for ~~information~~

42) In Kolar Dist, the power of
prosecution is exercised by the non-official
presidents of the Municipalities

43) In Mysore Dist the power of
prosecution is exercised ^{only} by ~~all~~ the
M.C. members except in Nanjangud
where it is ~~exercised~~ ^{delegated to} the non-official
president.

44) In Chitaldurg Dist ~~The~~ ^{The} ~~only~~ ^{only} Chitaldurg

6221/m
✓ 6581/m
✓ 6781/m

With drawal of powers delegated to the
pt of the Tanikere Townships

File No

of 194

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

6784

6846

56981/pt

M Council is exercising the power
of prosecution ^{itself} and in Hanjar and
Chellakere, the power is ~~exercised~~ ^{delegated to} by
the presidents

45) In Tumkur Dist ^{the} 2 pts of
Tumkur, 2 pts & 2 pts
the municipalities exercise the power
of prosecution and ~~also~~ in ~~other~~ ^{the}
circumstances ^{at all} the M.C. exercises the
power of prosecution

46) Submitted for orders with reference
to para 31 Supra

Ans 28/3/49 By 28/3/49 47) It will be seen from

The above Summary of the information
received from the DCS of several
DMS that the practice in this respect
varies. The Presidents of M.C.s
to whom this power is delegated exercise
^{and} the powers in several M.C.s while in
some cases the M.C.s themselves
exercise the same

48) The point for consideration in this
case is whether the resolution of the M.C.
dated 30.8.48 to the effect that the
power of prosecution for offences committed
under the M.C. Act should vest in the M.C.
with acceptance of this proposal would
mean a modification of the 30.8.41 (Hyd)

784 Speedy & much departure of
work as the running of present
of an executive act

A modification of the present procedure
& entrusting the power to the R.C.C.
would mean delay in taking action
in such cases

49) It seems necessary to entrust
the R.C.C. that there is a balance ^{local}
of advantages in continuing this ^{local}
power in the present body ^{as}
& that Govt do not consider it
necessary to change the ^{present} procedure ^{to}
After all, there is a runoff ^{on}
masses in this village & the R.C.C. ^{nee}
can exercise sufficient check ⁱⁿ
on his actions in cases of abuse ^{the}
for orders under reference 31/6/44 ^{or}

Chalsey ^{he}
24/1/44 ^{ent}

50) number 1/5) ^{25/6/43}
26/1/44

51) I agree about para 4 & above

Chalsey

52) J.P. ^{16/4/44}
16/4/44 ^{16/4/44}

OFFICE OF
THE MINISTER FOR
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
20/4/44
D. J. M. M.

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSOR.
14/4

A memo. submitted to them
With drawing of powers delegated to
the pdt of the Tarikere Town mls -

From

- 1 The DC Kolar Dist
As L B 162 / usug of 26-3-49
 - 2 The DC. Hassan Dist
L mlc 1303 / usug of 29-3-49
 - 3 The DC chitaldurg Dist
Abpr 371 & 1321 / usug of 25-3-49
 - 4 The DC Bangalore Dist
L Dis mlc 3596 / us - of 8-4-49
 - 5 The DC Mandya Dist
Asic 1424 / usug of 6-4-49
- furnish the information called for

6981 ml
7788 ml

53) Submitted with a draft letter
to the DC Chickmagalur Dist, for
approval

App
18/4/49

Issue

for
18/4/49

To

The DC Chickmagalur Dist
pdt Tarikere Town

L 122184/ml 55-ces-10 of 21-4-49

Rejects the request of the ml as a request



Dep
9/5/49

13/7
Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District,
Dated, Chikmagalur, 6th December 1947

No. A3.C.5688/45-46.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore.,
Revenue Department., Bangalore.



Subject: - Resolution of the Tarikere Town Municipal Council for withdrawal of Powers delegated to the President.

Referring to your letter No.L.10088 dated 16-1-1947 requesting me to take action under Section 194(1) Town Municipal Act, in regard to the above resolution passed by the Town Municipal Council Tarikere on 3-8-1946, I have the honour to state as follows:-

No 5272

1 Kind reference is invited to this office letter of even number dated 18-11-1946 (para 3), wherein my predecessor has opined that mere suspension of the resolution for an indefinite period, by the Deputy Commissioner, as provided for ~~the~~ ⁱⁿ Section 194 (1) of the Town Municipal Act will not be of any convenience. He has requested the orders of Government to cancel this resolution, as mere suspension is likely to be of inconvenience in the ordinary discharge of the duties of the Municipal President.

2. My predecessor has not passed any order under Section 194 (1), suspending the resolution, for the reasons already stated. So, no action could be taken under sub-section (2) of Section 194 as suggested in your letter dated 16-1-1947.

3. In view of the facts already reported in this office letters of even number dated 4-9-1946 and 19-11-1946 and as the then President of the Town Municipal Council was since transferred to a different place, I request that orders of Government may be kindly obtained for cancellation of the resolution in question as suggested by my predecessor.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. Srinivasan
Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District.

I.MB.

SUBJECT

Resolution of the Tanikone Town Municipal
 Council for withdrawal of powers delegated
 to the president

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 3078

No

2 13966

To

The Deputy Commissioner
 Chikmagalur District

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 19

Date of issue

26/2/48

Sir

Signed by

With reference to your
 letter No A3 C 5688/45-46, dated
 6-12-47 on the above subject
 I am directed to request
 you to forward to this office
 a copy of your letter No A3 C
 5688/45-46 dated 4-2-48 and also
 a copy of this office letter No L10081
 dated 16-1-47 for reference
 as the file on the subject is not
 this office to preserve the
 readily available
 case further as the file
 I have etc

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

for
 Secy. A

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District,
Dated, Chikmagalur, 2nd April

No A3 5688/45-46
(Encls 3)

To


The Secretary
to Government of M S D,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

S/4

Sd/
C

[Handwritten signature]

Subject - Revocation of the Talukho Town Municipal
Council for withdrawal of the powers delegated to
the President



[Handwritten notes: 'ml', '5/4/46']

As desired in your letter No.L 13966 dated 26th February

1948 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith

- | | |
|---|------------------|
| 1. This Office letter No A3 C 5688/45-46 dated 4th September 1946 | copies of the |
| 2. This Office letter No A3 C 5688/45-46 dated 19th November 1946 | marginally noted |
| 3. Your letter No.L 10080 dated 16th January 1947 | letters for kind |

reference and to request you to kindly communicate the final order
of Government in the matter on an early date

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Handwritten signature: H. R. Ramaswamy]
Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District
[Handwritten initials: 'ml']

I B

Dated 4-9-46

Copy of letter No A3 C 5688/45-46/(Encl 1110) from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadla District, Chikmagalur, to the Secretary to Government of Mysore, Revenue Department, Bangalore

Re un-authorised construction of a compound wall by M. T. C. Shanthappa in the Talukote Town Municipality -

I have the honour to forward herewith letter No P R 1/46-17 dated 8th August 1946 (in original) received from the President Town Municipal Council, Talukote, on the above subject and to state as follows -

(1) It is reported that one M. T. C. Shanthappa commenced the construction of a compound wall to his house No 2327 in the Talukote Town without obtaining a license therefor. He did not even apply for the license. On a notice being issued by the President as to what action should not be taken against him for the offence, M. T. C. Basappa took service of the notice on behalf of M. T. C. Shanthappa and wrote a letter to the President stating that under instructions from M. T. C. Shanthappa, he had asked a contractor to collect materials but in the mean-while, the contractor had constructed the parapet wall during his absence. He further contends that no material alteration or addition or even a new construction has been done in this case. The President filed a case against M. T. C. Shanthappa in the Court of the Munsiff Magistrate at Talukote, for the un-authorised construction. On the appeal - referred to the Municipal Council, against the order of the President, the Council have resolved on the 3rd August 1946 that prosecution - launched against the offender may be with-drawn.

(2) It is seen from the appeal petition that the building in question belongs to M. T. C. Basappa though it stands in the name of the M. T. C. Shanthappa in the records of the Municipal Office. Under Section 109(1) of the Town Municipal Act, he should have applied in writing for a license, even for additions to the existing building or for the reconstruction of the portion of the building. A plan should have also been furnished along with the application. M. Basappa who is a Municipal Councillor should have acted according to Rules and Bye-laws. I am of opinion that the decision of the Municipal Council is not in order. I enclose herewith the copies of resolutions of the Municipal Council and solicit orders of Government in the matter.

In this connection, I write to state that the Town Municipal Council have passed another resolution with-drawing the powers of

Proceeds...

prosecuting offenders, from the Ex-Officio President. With a view to obtain expeditious disposal of matters relating to prosecutions, Government sanctioned in their order No L.1738/Ml.63-41-2 dated 30th August 1941, the delegation of powers to the President, under Sections 170 and 171 of the Mysore Town Municipalities Act. The resolutions of the Municipal Council to divest the executive from the required powers, are not conducive to the satisfactory administration of the Municipality. It is quite necessary that this power should vest with the President, as matters cannot in most cases wait till the holding of the monthly meetings. Even the General Meetings are not held every month. As already reported by me all the members of the Tanikore Town Municipal Council, except two or three have joined into a party under the influence of some of the members. They are not co-operating with the President in the smooth working of the Municipal affairs. I therefore request that both the resolutions passed by the Tanikore Town Municipal Council may be kindly cancelled. The connected records are enclosed.

hp gamanathaya

Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District
va

I MB.

Copy of letter No L 10088 W/R dated 16th January 1947 from the Secretary to Government of Mysore, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Falia District, Chikmagalur

In reference to your letter No AS C 5688/45-5 dated 31st December 1946 on the subject of unauthorised construction of a compound wall by Mr T C Shantappa in the Talikote Town, I am directed to state as follows

As regards the first resolution regarding the withdrawal of the prosecution launched against Mr Shantappa in the Court of the Munsiff-Magistrate of Talikote Town for unauthorised construction of a compound wall to his house, I am to state that Government agree with you that the case cannot be brought under the provisions of section 194 of the Town Municipalities Act and that the present case, being a summons case, can be withdrawn by the complainant under the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code. In view of the facts explained in your letter as regards the present situation in the Town, I am to add that you may take such action as is authorised by Law in this case and that no orders of Government are necessary.

As regards the second resolution about withdrawing the powers of the President also, I am to state that Section 194 of the Town Municipalities Act lays down a certain procedure for observance viz that the order by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 194(1) should be communicated to the Municipal Council also under Sub-Section (2) thereof and an opportunity given to the Municipal Council to show cause against the said order.

I am to request you to take action as laid down in the section referred to above in the first instance and refer the matter later on to Government, after the opinion of the Municipal Council is received.

The enclosures received with your letter under reference are herewith returned.

H. R. Gamaiah

Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District
JH

I MB

SUBJECT

Resolution of the Tamil Nadu Town Muni-
cipality for withdrawal of powers delegated
to the President.

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 19

The Deputy Commissioner
Chickmagalur District
Sir,

Date of issue

Signed by

In reference to your
letter No A3 5688/45-46 dated
2-4-1948 on the above Sub-
ject I am directed to re-
quest you to forward a copy
of your letter dated ^{31 12 48} 29-9-46
together with ^{a copy of} the resolution
to be cancelled and a copy
of this office letter No L 4537
dated 29-9-46 ^{also} for reference
I have

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

J. K. S. S.
for 28/10/48
21/11/48

L 17446

8 June, 48

The

Revenue Department.


To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chicmagalur District.

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A3.5688/45-46 dated 2nd April 1948 in regard to the withdrawal of the powers delegated to the President of the Tarikere Town Municipal Council, I am directed to enquire if the Municipal Council are still of the same opinion now on this point, as they were two years ago, in view of the fact that the position has now changed and the Ex-officio President is now replaced by an elected President.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


to Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Tvr

To The Dy Commr

Chickmaglur St

17446
876/482

Sir


With reference to the correspondence
ending with your letter no A3 5688/45-46, D
2-4-48 in regard to the withdrawal of the
Powers delegated to the President of the Varkey
Town ml cl I am directed to request you to
^{enquire} ~~state~~ if the ~~present~~ ml cl are still of the
same opinion now on this point
as they were two years ago in view of
the fact that the position has now
changed ^{and} as the Ex-officio President
is now replaced by an elected
President

I have etc

Yours

For my to Comr
Rev Deflt
Pr 96/48

ML 2587




5688/45-46 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chickmagalur District,
Chickmagalur, dated the 27th September 1948



To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore



Regarding the withdrawal of the powers delegated to the
President of the Terikere Town Municipal Council.

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No
L 17446 dated 8th June 1948 enquiring if the Municipal Council are
still of the same opinion on the above subject as they were
formerly, I wish to state that the Municipal Council have resolved
on 30-8-1948 that the power to direct prosecution for any public
nuisance may be vested in the Municipal Council, which means that
the power in this behalf now delegated to the President should be
withdrawn as per their resolution dated 3rd August 1946 I ~~will~~
invite kind reference to the detailed report dated 4th September 1946
of Sri G N Nagaraja Rao, M Sc, the then Deputy Commissioner
and request you kindly to obtain the final orders of Government
in this behalf as the Ex-Officio President has been replaced by
the Elected President

Yours Faithfully,

Dr. P. S. Narayana
Deputy Commissioner,
Chickmagalur District.

HPR

As 56^c dated 27/9/48
 Proceedings of the General Body Meeting of the
 Town Municipal Council Tanikere for the month of
 August-1948 Convened on 30.8.48 in the Town
 Municipal Office Tanikere at 4 P.M.

This is an enclosure
 to the office even
 as per dated above

to Ray Sankar to give
 of Mysore
 Bangalore

4/10
 63 ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಿ ಪತ್ರ ರವರಿಗೆ
 ಪ್ರಾ. ಕ. ಸಂ. 5688/45-46
 ಮು. ನಿ. ಕ. ಸಂ. 5688/45-46
 ಮೇಲೆ ಬರವಣಿಗೆಯಿಂದ
 No A3 5688/45-46 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
 22/3-6-48 ರ ಮೊ. ವಿಚಾರ

ಶಿರಾಟ

ಕೌ. ನಿ. ಕ. ಸಂ. 5688/45-46
 ಶಿರಾಟ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

True copy
 L. S. Shammappa
 President
 Town Municipal Council
 Tanikere
 27/9/48

In the M.C.
 elected non-official President in the Tanikere
 M.C. now, I am to request you

10000/ No 2 7023-31
To 24-12-88

The Deputy Commissioner
Sir,

except Chikhalgaon

Subj: withdrawal of powers
delegated to the ^{non-official} presidents
of the mlcs

Sir,

I am directed to state
that certain powers were delegated
to the ex-officio president Tarikere
Town mlc regarding the disposal
matters relating to prosecutions
of o. n. l. 1788/ml 63-41-2 dated
8-41. Now the said mlc
requests sanction to withdraw the
powers ~~of~~ delegated to the president
and ~~it should be~~ ^{to} vested in the
mlc. This power was delegated
to the president ^{at} when there was an ex-officio president
in the mlc. As there is an
elected non-official president in the Tarikere
mlc now, to request your


to ^{now} inform the practice in this behalf
all the ^{town} municipalities ^{especially} in your dist especially
there are non-official presidents

in your dist regarding the delegation
of powers and whether the ^{prosecution} ^{are being} ^{covered}
The above information may be
furnished at a very early date
by ^{the} ^{Presidents} ^{of the} ^{cities}

Yours faithfully


for the Secretary
Aug
8/12

Memorandum 7032 6/24-12-11.
copy me to the Dist. Chief Municipal
for information

Do

for the Secretary



No A 6 C 1881/48-49
Enc

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated, Tumkur, the 3rd Feb 1949

To

The Secretary to Govt of Mysore,
General Department
Bangalore *Amr*
B. J. M.

u
7/2

Subject Withdrawal of powers delegated to the
non-official Presidents of the Town
Municipalities

✓
Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 7023-31
dated 24th December 1948, on the above subject, I
have the honor to state that the powers of prosecu-
tion are being exercised by the Presidents of Tumkur
Madhusiri, Tiptur Town Municipalities and by the
Municipal Council in respect of Chiknaikanahalli
Town Municipality

Yours faithfully

N. Anand

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Ad 3/2

la
up



No. M3.C.2323/244/48-49.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Shimoga dated 17 February 1949

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District, Shimoga

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Withdrawal of powers delegated to the non-official
Presidents of the Municipalities

With reference to your letter No L 7023-31 dated 24-12-48
on the subject noted above, I write to state that Presidents
of Shimoga and Sagri Town Municipal Councils in the District
have been sanctioned powers to order prosecutions. The Order
sanctioning the powers is noted in the margin*. It may be
mentioned that these powers were sanctioned when there were
official Presidents in both the Municipalities.

- 1 Shimoga 6349-M 50-15-14/28-2-46
2 Sagri L 5887-88/M 1111-41-80
dated 9-1-42

Yours faithfully,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

(Absent from headquarters)

mk 55-48-9

5/3

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District,
Dated, Chikmagalur, 17th February 1949.

No A3 C 5688/45-46

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General Department B a n g a l o r e

Sir,

Re - Withdrawal of powers delegated to the President
of the Talikote Municipality -

ul
24/2

mm
24/2

Adverting to your Memo No L 7032 dated 24th December 1948 forwarding a copy of letter No L.7023-31 dated 24th December 1948 addressed to the Deputy Commissioners of other Districts on the above subject, I write to request you kindly to communicate the final orders of Government regarding the exercise of the power of prosecution, in view of the fact that there are Non-Official - Presidents in the Town Municipalities in this District. As this reference is pending from a long time, I request the favour of early disposal.

Yours Faithfully,

M. S. S. S.

Deputy Commissioner,
Chikmagalur District

ew

I MB/2.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L 10444-50

Dated, Bangalore 17-3- 1949

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General Department.

TO

The Deputy Commissioner,
District.

Sir

Subject: withdrawal of powers delegated to
the Presidents of Town Municipalities
in the District.

I am directed to invite reference to this office
letter No.L.7023-31 dated 24th December 1948 on the
above subject, and to request that the information called
for therein may be sent very early.

Yours faithfully,


For Secretary to Government
General Department.

NOX

To ~~gchx~~ L 10444-50
17/3/4

The vis of lists
except ^{Chickamphur} Temkeng and
Shimogn lists

Subj: withdrawal of powers
delegated to the polts of
Town municipalities in
the war

Sir

I am directed to invite
reference to this office letter
NL 7023-31 of 24-12-48 on the
above subject ~~draw~~ and to
^{request} ~~state~~ that the information
called for therein may be sent
very early
Yours faithfully
for 5/1/49
B. 143497

No A5 C B 162/48-49


OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR DISTRICT

Dated, Kolar 28th February 1949

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
General Department, Bangalore

ll
B Sir,



With reference to your letter No 1023-31 dated 24th December 1948 regarding the delegation of powers of prosecution to the non-official Presidents of the Municipalities, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council of Kolar, Chikballapur and Chintamani have delegated their powers of prosecution to the non-official Presidents, who are exercising the powers of prosecution in all cases of breach of the provisions of the Municipal Act

Yours faithfully,

Rangamallu

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

NS

u

C F No 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tel. 

OFFICE OF


THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

MYSORE DISTRICT

No L Dis D3 3247/48-49
Encls

Date 28th February 1949

21st March & PEVEI



JANAB MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN, B A.,
Secretary to Government,
General Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Sub Withdrawal of powers delegated to the Non-Official
presidents of Municipalities

With reference to your letter No L 7023-31 dated 24-12-48 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the marginally noted five Municipalities in this District are the Town Municipalities In all these 5 Municipalities there are non Official Presidents The powers of 'prosecution are being exercised by the Municipal councils and the same have not been delegated to the presidents of the Municipalities except in Nanjangud Town Municipal council, where the president is invested with the powers of prosecution under the rules framed by the Nanjangud Town Municipal council and sanctioned by Government in their order No R 5674/ML 61-19-14 dated 14-11-1919, an extract of which is enclosed

Yours faithfully,

For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District


BNS/-

- 1 Nanjangud
- 2 Chamaraajanagar
- 3 Krishnarajanagar
- 4 Gundlupet
- 5 Hunsur

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
No A6 P R 371/48-9
C 1321 Chitaldrug, dated, 8th March 1949

To

Janab Mir Saifdar Hussain, B A
Secretary to Government, General Department,
Bangalore
===



*in with
1949*
*me
1949*
✓

Re Withdrawal of powers delegated to the non
official Presidents of the Municipalities

With reference to your letter No 7020-31 dated 24-12-48
on the above subject, I have the honour to furnish below the
practice prevailing in the several town Municipalities of
this District,

1) Chitaldrug town Municipality

It is reported that no powers are
delegated to the non official President of this Municipality
and that the Municipal Council is exercising the powers of
prosecution

2) Harihar town Municipality

It is reported that the powers were dele-
gated to the President vide G O No L 2771-2 Ml 165-48-2 dated
30-8-1943 when there was an official President and that the
same powers are being continued to be exercised now also

3) Chellakere town Municipality

The President reports that the powers
~~of~~ prosecution were delegated to both the official and
non official Presidents by the council at their meeting held
4-2-1947 and that the present non official President is
exercising the powers of prosecution

Yours faithfully,

R. Rao Sankar Rao

For Deputy Commissioner, Chit Dis.
(Absent from the Headquarters)

C LF 1/

No No A.5.L.B.162/48-49


OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOLAR DISTRICT

Enc. one

Dated Kolar 20th March 1949



Sir



The Secretary to Government of Mysore, B A CH. S.
General Department, Bangalore

the Deputy
ment General

With reference to your letter No L.10444-50 dated 17th March 49 regarding the withdrawal of Powers of prosecution delegated to non-official Presidents, I have the honour to state that a reply has already been sent to you in my letter dated 1st March 1949. A copy of the same is enclosed for information

December 48 re
ial Presidents
he Municipal
egated their
are exercising
provisions of

6981
26/3 11/11/49
NS 136

Your faithfully,
[Signature]
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

[Signature]
sioner,
t

Copy of letter No A5 C B.162/48-49 dated 1/3/49 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Secretary to Government, General Department, Bangalore

With reference to your letter No 1023-31 dated 24th December 48 re the delegation of Powers of prosecution to the non-official Presidents of the Municipalities, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Councils of Kolar, Chikballanur and Chirtarani have delegated their powers of prosecution to the nonofficial Presidents who are exercising the powers of prosecution in all cases of breach of the provisions of the Municipal Act.

COPY

G. S. S. S. S.
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

11/26/51

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
No A6 P R 371-C
1821/48-9 Chitaldurg, dated 25 March 1949
Encl one

To

Janab Mir Saifdar Hussain, B A,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore



Sir,

Re. Withdrawal of powers delegated to the non
official Presidents of the Municipalities in the

District
=====

With reference to your letter No L 10444-50
dated 17-3-49 on the subject mentioned above, I have
the honour to state that the information called for, has
already been sent with this office letter No A6 P R
371-C 1821/48-49 dated 2-3-1949

A copy of the same is herewith sent for favour of
ready reference

Yours faithfully,

R. Narasimha Rao

C WF 1/

For Deputy Commissioner, Chit Dist

1/25/3 (Absent from Headquarters)

Letter No A6 P R 371/C 1821/42-46 dated 8th Ma
from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug ~~to the~~
Dist to the Secretary to Govt General Department,
Bangalore

Re With-drawal of powers delegated to the non Official
Presidents of the Municipalities

With reference to your letter No 7020-31, dated
24-12-1948 on the above subject, I have the honour to
furnish below the practice prevailing in the several town
municipalities of this District
1 Chitaldrug town Municipality

It is reported that no powers are delegated to
the non official President of this Municipality and that
the Municipal Council is exercising the powers of prose-
cution

2 Harihar town Municipality

===
It is reported that the powers were delegated to
the President vide G O No L 2771-2 M1 165-4 dated
30-8-1943 when there was an official President and that
the same powers are being continued to be exercised now
also

3 Challakere town Municipality

The President reports that the powers of
prosecution were delegated to both the official and
non official Presidents by the council at their meeting
held on 4-2-47 and that the present non official Presi-
dent is exercising the powers of prosecution

"Copy"



R. Devaraj Rao

For Deputy Commissioner, Chit Dist

C WF 1/ ~~1~~ 25/3

L Mlc 1303/48-49

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated, Hassan, the 29th March 1949



To
The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
B a n g a l o r e

mm
Ag

Sir,

Re The withdrawal of powers delegated to the Non-official
Presidents of Municipalities

With reference to your Letter No L 7023-31 dated
24-12-1948 enquiring whether the powers ~~be~~ of prosecution are
being exercised by the non-official Presidents of Town Municipalities
I have the honour to state that this power is being exercised by the
Municipal Council and not by the Non-official Presidents

Yours faithfully,

L. Munishan
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan district

A5-C-1424/48-49

Office of the Deputy Commiss
Mandya District, Mandya
dated 12 APR 1949

From

The Deputy Commissio
Mandya District, Mandya



To

The Secretary to Government,
General Department, Bangalore



Re Withdrawal of powers delegated to the
Presidents of Town Municipalities

With reference to your letter No 10444-50 dated
17-3-1949 on the above subject, I have the honour to
state that the power of prosecution in the Town Muni-
cipalities of this District (except Srirangapatana)
is being exercised by the respective Municipal Coun-
cils. The President, Town Municipal Council, Sri-
rangapatana has, however, reported that the powers
of prosecution are being exercised by the President

Yours Faithfully,

B. K. Hanumanth
for Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District


(absent from headquarters)

K A R

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT (RURAL),
No L Dis M6 3596/48 BANGALORE, DATED 21-1-1949

To

Janab Mir Safdar Hussain,
General Secretary to Govt,
BANGALORE



Subject Withdrawal of powers delegated
to the non-official presidents of the
Municipalities

With reference to your letter No L 7023-31
dated 24-12-48 enquiring any of the non-offi
cial presidents of the Municipalities have been
delegated with the powers regarding the disposal
of matters relating to prosecutions, I write to
state that it is reported by the Presidents of
the Municipalities in this District, that no such
powers have been delegated to any of the non-
official Presidents in this District and that
such cases are being dealt with by the Municipal
Councils themselves

P T O

7th March 1949 - The powers delegated to the President of the Municipality, does not come under the powers of the P.T.O. for Secy to

- 2 -

Municipal Councils themselves

Yours faithfully,


DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT (RURAL)

GR/

g.c.m.
No L 12219/ Mr SS-48-10
To 2/4/49
The Mr Chickmagalm Sir
Question of the
Suly With drawal of powers
of prosecution & delegated to the pdr of the
~~non-official~~ Tarikere Town Mlf

Sir

With reference to the correspon-
dence ending with your letter
W A3c 5088/45-46 dated
17-2-49 on the above subject

I am directed to state
~~that~~ ^{the fact consider that} there is a balance of
advantage in continuing ^{the} ~~these~~
power in the president himself
^{of prosecution}

~~That fact do not consider the~~
~~president~~ ^{and} ~~as not~~ it is necessary to

which ensures
further change the present procedure
speedy despatch work in this behalf
There is a non-official president
in ^{this} ~~Tarikere~~ Mlf- and the Mlf
can exercise sufficient check
over his actions in cases of

abuse of the power
in to as ~~that~~ in the above cases, the result
of the Mlf to, without the ^{your letter Mlf}
powers delegated to the residents
does not comment itself ^{for}
to the fact for 81 Secy to Gov

L 12218 / M 55-48-10
21/4/49

General Department.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chickmagalur District.

SUBJECT Question of the withdrawal of powers of
prosecution delegated to the President of
the Tarikere Town Municipality.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. A.Z.C.5682/45-6 dated 17th February 1949 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the Government consider that there is a balance of advantage in continuing the power of prosecution in the President himself and it is not necessary to change the present procedure which ~~involves~~ ensures a speedy despatch of work in this behalf. Further, there is a non-official President in this Municipality and the Municipal Council can exercise sufficient check over his action in cases of abuse of this power.

I am to add that in the above circumstances, the resolution of the Municipal Council to withdraw the powers delegated to the President does not command itself to the Government


Yours faithfully,

Sd/- Mir Safdar Hussain,
Secretary to Government
General Department.

Memo No L 12219 / M 55-48-10 d-21 4 49

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to
to the President, Town Municipal Council, Tarikere, for information

By Order,


For Secretary to Government,
General Department